

Variations of the Steinbart Theorem / Darij Grinberg

We begin with citing the so-called Steinbart Theorem (Oliver Funck [1]; [2] gives a partial converse):

Let ΔABC be a triangle and $\Delta A'B'C'$ its tangential triangle. This means: At the points A , B and C we draw tangents to the circumcircle of ΔABC ; the intersection of the tangents at B and at C is called A' , and the points B' and C' are defined similarly.

Further let A'' , B'' and C'' be points on the circumcircle of ΔABC satisfying the condition that the lines AA'' , BB'' and CC'' concur. Then the Steinbart Theorem says that the lines $A'A''$, $B'B''$ and $C'C''$ also concur. (Fig. 1)




Fig. 1

Now, one will ask himself if the condition that the lines AA'' , BB'' and CC'' concur is also necessary to make the lines $A'A''$, $B'B''$ and $C'C''$ concur.

The answer is surprising – No! There are other positions of the points A'' , B'' and C'' for which the lines $A'A''$, $B'B''$ and $C'C''$ concur. Namely, this is also valid if the points $AA'' \cap BC$, $BB'' \cap CA$ and $CC'' \cap AB$ are collinear. (Fig. 2). (The sign \cap means "intersection".)




Fig. 2

So we are going to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1, the Extended Steinbart Theorem. Let A'' , B'' and C'' be any three points on the circumcircle of ΔABC . The lines $A'A''$, $B'B''$ and $C'C''$ concur if and only if either the lines AA'' , BB'' and CC'' concur or the points $AA'' \cap BC$, $BB'' \cap CA$ and $CC'' \cap AB$ are collinear.

The proof will be essentially an extension of the one given for a partial converse of the Steinbart Theorem in [2]. We denote $K = AA'' \cap BC$, $L = BB'' \cap CA$ and $M = CC'' \cap AB$. (Fig. 3)

Now we can rewrite Theorem 1: The lines $A'A''$, $B'B''$ and $C'C''$ concur if and only if either the lines AK , BL and CM concur or the points K , L and M are collinear.

We want to prove this.

From the Ceva theorem, the lines AK , BL and CM concur if and only if

$$\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} = 1.$$

From the Menelaos theorem, the points K , L and M are collinear if and only if

$$\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} = -1.$$

It follows that either the lines AK , BL and CM concur or the points K , L and M are collinear if and only if

$$\left(\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} \right)^2 = 1.$$

From the Ceva theorem in the trigonometric form, the lines $A'A''$, $B'B''$ and $C'C''$ concur if and

only if

$$\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle A'B'B''}{\sin \angle B''B'C'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle B'C'C''}{\sin \angle C''C'A'} = 1.$$

Thus, it remains to establish that

$$\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle A'B'B''}{\sin \angle B''B'C'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle B'C'C''}{\sin \angle C''C'A'} = 1$$

is valid if and only if

$$\left(\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} \right)^2 = 1$$

is valid.

In fact, we will show the equation

$$\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle A'B'B''}{\sin \angle B''B'C'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle B'C'C''}{\sin \angle C''C'A'} = \left(\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} \right)^2. \quad (1)$$




Fig. 3

At first, the Sine Law gives

$$\frac{BK}{KC} = \frac{\sin \angle BAK \cdot AB : \sin \angle AKB}{\sin \angle KAC \cdot CA : \sin \angle AKC}.$$

But $\angle AKB + \angle AKC = 180^\circ$ and $\sin \angle AKB = \sin \angle AKC$, what leads to

$$\frac{BK}{KC} = \frac{\sin \angle BAK \cdot AB}{\sin \angle KAC \cdot CA} = \frac{\sin \angle BAK}{\sin \angle KAC} \cdot \frac{c}{b} = \frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} \cdot \frac{c}{b}.$$

Analogously,

$$\frac{CL}{LA} = \frac{\sin \angle CBB''}{\sin \angle B''BA} \cdot \frac{a}{c} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{AM}{MB} = \frac{\sin \angle ACC''}{\sin \angle C''CB} \cdot \frac{b}{a};$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} &= \left(\frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} \cdot \frac{c}{b} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sin \angle CBB''}{\sin \angle B''BA} \cdot \frac{a}{c} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\sin \angle ACC''}{\sin \angle C''CB} \cdot \frac{b}{a} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle CBB''}{\sin \angle B''BA} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle ACC''}{\sin \angle C''CB} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{c}{b} \cdot \frac{a}{c} \cdot \frac{b}{a} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} = \frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle CBB''}{\sin \angle B''BA} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle ACC''}{\sin \angle C''CB}. \quad (2)$$

Furthermore

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} &= \frac{\sin \angle BA'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'C} \\ &= \frac{BA'' \cdot \sin \angle A''BA' : A'A''}{A''C \cdot \sin \angle A''CA' : A'A''} \quad (\text{Sine Law}) \\ &= \frac{BA'' \cdot \sin \angle A''BA'}{A''C \cdot \sin \angle A''CA'} = \frac{BA''}{A''C} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle A''BA'}{\sin \angle A''CA'}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we have the chordal-tangent angles $\angle A''BA' = \angle BAA''$ and $\angle A''CA' = \angle A''AC$. We conclude

$$\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} = \frac{BA''}{A''C} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC}.$$

Since a chord in a circle is equal to the double radius of the circle times the sine of the chordal angle of the chord, we have $BA'' = 2r \sin \angle BAA''$ and $A''C = 2r \sin \angle A''AC$, where r is the circumradius of ΔABC . Thus,

$$\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} = \frac{2r \sin \angle BAA''}{2r \sin \angle A''AC} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} = \left(\frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} \right)^2.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sin \angle A'B'B''}{\sin \angle B''B'C'} &= \left(\frac{\sin \angle CBB''}{\sin \angle B''BA} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{\sin \angle B'C'C''}{\sin \angle C''C'A'} &= \left(\frac{\sin \angle ACC''}{\sin \angle C''CB} \right)^2; \end{aligned}$$

multiplication gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle A'B'B''}{\sin \angle B''B'C'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle B'C'C''}{\sin \angle C''C'A'} \\ &= \left(\frac{\sin \angle BAA''}{\sin \angle A''AC} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle CBB''}{\sin \angle B''BA} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle ACC''}{\sin \angle C''CB} \right)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and after (2) this becomes

$$\frac{\sin \angle C'A'A''}{\sin \angle A''A'B'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle A'B'B''}{\sin \angle B''B'C'} \cdot \frac{\sin \angle B'C'C''}{\sin \angle C''C'A'} = \left(\frac{BK}{KC} \cdot \frac{CL}{LA} \cdot \frac{AM}{MB} \right)^2,$$

what proves the formula (1). This establishes Theorem 1.




Fig. 4

Let ΔABC an arbitrary triangle; the incircle of this triangle touches the sides BC , CA and AB at the points X , Y and Z , respectively. Then, the triangle XYZ is called **Gergonne triangle** of triangle ABC . (It is also called **contact triangle** or **intouch triangle**.) Obviously, the incircle of ΔABC is the circumcircle of ΔXYZ , and the triangle ΔABC itself is the tangential triangle of ΔXYZ . This indicates that we can apply Theorem 1 to triangle XYZ , and get:

Theorem 2. Let X' , Y' and Z' be any three points on the incircle of ΔABC . The lines AX' , BY' and CZ' concur if and only if either the lines XX' , YY' and ZZ' concur or the points $XX' \cap YZ$, $YY' \cap ZX$ and $ZZ' \cap XY$ are collinear.

(See Fig. 4 for the case when the lines XX' , YY' and ZZ' concur, and Fig. 5 for the case when the points $XX' \cap YZ$, $YY' \cap ZX$ and $ZZ' \cap XY$ are collinear.)




Fig. 5

This theorem has an interesting corollary.

Theorem 3. Let ΔABC be a triangle and ΔXYZ its Gergonne triangle. Further, let D, E and F be any three points on the sides BC, CA and AB of triangle ABC . From D, E and F , draw the tangents to the incircle of ΔABC (different from the triangle's sides BC, CA, AB); these tangents touch the incircle at the points X' , Y' and Z' , respectively. Then the lines AX' , BY' and CZ' concur if and only if either the points D, E and F are collinear or the lines AD , BE and CF concur.

(See Fig. 6 for the case when the lines AD , BE and CF concur, and Fig. 7 for the case when the points D, E and F are collinear.)

Remark that a part of this theorem (namely that if the lines AD , BE and CF concur, the lines AX' , BY' and CZ' concur), was found by Jean-Pierre Ehrmann. See Hyacinthos message #6966. It can be generalized for any inscribed conic instead of the incircle.




Fig. 6

We proceed to show Theorem 3 for the incircle using the notions of poles and polars with respect to circles.

Remember that if a point lies P outside of a circle k , the polar of this point with respect to k is the line joining the two points where the tangents from P to k touch k . From this, we have:

The polars of the points A , B and C with respect to the incircle of $\triangle ABC$ are the lines YZ , ZX and XY ; the polars of the points D , E and F with respect to the incircle of $\triangle ABC$ are the lines XX' , YY' and ZZ' . Hence, the poles of the lines AD , BE and CF are the points $XX' \cap YZ$, $YY' \cap ZX$ and $ZZ' \cap XY$.

The points X' , Y' and Z' lie on the incircle of $\triangle ABC$. After Theorem 2, the lines AX' , BY' and CZ' concur if and only if either the lines XX' , YY' and ZZ' concur or the points $XX' \cap YZ$, $YY' \cap ZX$ and $ZZ' \cap XY$ are collinear. The lines XX' , YY' and ZZ' are the polars of the points D , E and F and concur if and only if the points D , E and F are collinear. The points $XX' \cap YZ$, $YY' \cap ZX$ and $ZZ' \cap XY$ are the poles of the lines AD , BE and CF and are collinear if and only if the lines AD , BE and CF concur.

This proves Theorem 3.




Fig. 7

References

- [1] Oliver Funck: *Geometrische Untersuchungen mit Computerunterstützung*,
<http://www.uni-duisburg.de/SCHULEN/STG/Wettbewerbe/jufo2.html>
- [2] Stanley Rabinowitz, Francisco Bellot, María Ascensión López, René De Vogelaere: *Solution of Problem 1364 (Points of Rabinowitz)*, Mathematics Magazine 1/65 (1992) pages 59-61.